

From: azstidger@mindspring.com [<mailto:azstidger@mindspring.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:20 PM  
To: MLPAComments  
Subject: I support MLPA Proposal 2

I support MLPA Proposal 2. I fish. I vote.

Adrien Stidger  
2218 Maryal Dr  
Sacramento, CA 95864

---

**From:** DALEMYER@aol.com [<mailto:DALEMYER@aol.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 11:46 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** I support MLPA Proposal 2

As a life long CA fisherman, I support MLPA Proposal 2 as the most fair and balanced plan.

---

**From:** Frank Ledesma [<mailto:ledesma6670@sbcglobal.net>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 9:52 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:**

I support proposal MLPA #2

Frank Ledesma

---

**From:** Henry Maze [<mailto:henrymaze@sbcglobal.net>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 11:40 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** my voice

Proposal # 2 is the best all around.  
thank you for listening,

Henry Maze

---

From: Jeremy [<mailto:jfgordon@comcast.net>]  
Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2008 11:04 PM  
To: MLPAComments  
Subject: MPLA

I support MLPA Proposal 2

---

**From:** Jonathan Dunn [<mailto:dunndeal@pacbell.net>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 11:41 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Proposal #2

I support MLPA proposal # 2.  
Thank you ,

Jon Dunn  
Oakland CA

---

**From:** Harper, Mitch (Mitch) [mailto:Mitch@chevron.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:30 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** I Support MLPA Option II

Greetings,

I am writing to express my strong support for MLPA Option 2. It is based in science, and provides a moderate compromise. It accomplishes all of the goals desired.

Thanks for your time,  
Mitch Harper  
Martinez, CA

---

**From:** Rick Severe [mailto:ricksevere@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 11:32 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:**

I SUPPORT MLPA PROPOSAL 2. MY WIFE SUPPORTS MLPA PROPOSAL 2 MY TWO DAUGHTERS SUPPORT MLPA 2. MY TWO GRANDSONS SUPPORT MLPA 2. MY GRANDDAUGHTER SUPPORTS MLPA 2..

THANK YOU

RICK & SHARON SEVERE  
LEANNE, AUSTIN, JOSHUA & HANNAH HERRERA  
BREANNE DODD

---

**From:** Jim [mailto:whaler@castles.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:31 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** comment

I support MLPA Proposal 2

---

**From:** bob bow [mailto:rbowjr@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:29 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** mlpas

I support proposal 2

Bob Bow

---

**From:** TWENTYnONE@aol.com [mailto:TWENTYnONE@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:32 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Proposal 2

"I support MLPA Proposal 2"

Daniel Castro  
4708 Rose Way

Union City, CA 94587-5043  
(510) 489-0180

---

**From:** FRANKLIN D BODWIN [mailto:franklin.bodwin@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:47 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA

I support MLPA proposal 2.  
Franklin D Bodwin  
331 Catamaran St  
Foster City, Ca  
94404

---

**From:** ifitflysitdies@sbcglobal.net [mailto:ifitflysitdies@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:26 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** option #2

Option #2 is the only option that I can go along with. If it is not adopted, not only will I quite buying licenses, I will donate the money I used to spend on gas, bait, lodging, restaurants, etc. on donations for coastsides, and other clubs that help preserve our right to access the outdoors. Thank you, Jay Morgan

---

**From:** Jonathan Rauh [mailto:jonrauh@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 11:23 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** I support MLPA Proposal 2

I support MLPA Proposal 2

--

Jonathan Rauh  
1815 Shoreline Hwy, Muir Beach, CA 94965  
415-388-7526 - home  
415-509-7526 - cel  
[jonrauh@gmail.com](mailto:jonrauh@gmail.com)

---

**From:** rewebb03@netzero.net [mailto:rewebb03@netzero.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:18 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Proposal 2

I, Rick Webb, Support MLPA Proposal 2 ! Thank you for taking the time to read this E-Mail.

---

From: Steve Dillon [mailto:Dilbyrocks@rcn.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:30 PM  
To: MLPAComments  
Subject: MLPA comments

Please support Proposal 2 for the MLPA North Central zone.  
Proposal 2 achieves excellent levels of Ecosystem protection while allowing access for California citizens to enjoy our marine environment. Thank you Steve Dillon San Mateo Ca

---

From: Christopher Helm [<mailto:bucyouup68@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2008 9:54 PM  
To: MLPAComments  
Subject: Comments on Proposal

I support MLPA Proposal 2, this is the one that makes the most sense for California.

Thank You For Your Time in this matter.

---

From: douglas laughlin [<mailto:duglas1@juno.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:29 PM  
To: MLPAComments  
Subject: MLPA

I support MLPA Proposal 2.

Doug Laughlin  
746 Sierra Street  
Moss Beach, Ca. 94038

---

**From:** Greg Pourroy [<mailto:GPourroy@valleywater.org>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:36 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Salmon season 2008

I support proposal #2. I do not support closing the season in 2008. The water flow down south have the be cut!! The hatcheries need to back up to full capacity this year.

Thank You

Greg Pourroy

---

From: Jeffrey W Richards [<mailto:jrichards5@csc.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:27 PM  
To: MLPAComments  
Subject: I support Proposal 2

This message is to express my unconditional support for the Regional Stakeholder Groups MPA Proposal 2. As someone who has attended several RSG and BRTF meetings I am amazed that given the language of the MLPA states; 'The MLPA calls for the use of the "best readily available science" in designing and managing MPAs.', and that the MLPAl has fallen back to the words of Dr. Mark Carr, "feeling" or "precaution" as was stated by Meg Caldwell.

I absolutely reject the other proposals. This process needs to objectively implement the best available science not what the RLFF wants.

Regards;

Jeff Richards

---

**From:** John Long [<mailto:john@hayridetohell.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:17 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Support

I support MLPA Proposal 2

Tight Lines,  
JOHN LONG  
24' Skagit Orca "Baitfingertoo" - SC

---

**From:** Mike Giraudo [mailto:mike@intecsolutions.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:58 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Proposal 2

I support proposal #2

It is the only one that conserves the fish habitat so my son will have a healthy fishery to enjoy.

Mike Giraudo  
Pacifica, Ca

---

**From:** Scott Tibbedeaux [mailto:raven@the-ravens.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 10:24 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Mpla Proposals

I support MLPA Proposal 2 it is a vastly superior proposal that balances between conservation and sportsmen's rights.

---

**From:** Tad Houston [mailto:tadhouston@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2008 11:25 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Support of proposal 2

Please note as a CoastSide sport fisherman i support proposal 2 for the MLPA.

Thank you, Tad Houston

---

**From:** Andrew Bland [mailto:blando3@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 7:51 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** I Support MLPA Proposal 2

Hello,  
I want to write and tell you that I support MLPA Proposal 2.  
Thanks very much,  
Andrew Bland

---

**From:** CapnM7@aol.com [mailto:CapnM7@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 11:34 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Proposal 2

I, my son and my 6 year old grandson fully support PROPOSAL 2 on the Agenda for the MLPA....please let me enjoy fishing with my grandson while I am still able...  
Captain Mark Capra

---

**From:** Elizabeth Ross [mailto:rfam@astound.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 6:30 PM

**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Proposal 2

I support MLPA proposal 2.  
Thanks

---

**From:** Gert [mailto:gert@systemusa.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 6:47 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Support of MLPA Proposal 2

I support MLPA Proposal 2

Best Regards,

**Gert H. Kolbach**  
**Greenhouse System USA Inc.**  
**(831) 722 1188 office**  
**(831) 722 6224 fax**  
**(831) 750 0466 cell**  
**gert@systemusa.com**

---

**From:** Jim Winters [mailto:winters3@llnl.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 12:25 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Proposal

I support MLPA proposal 2.

Jim Winters  
BS Science Degree/Wildlife Mgmt.  
Humboldt State University

---

**From:** John O'Neill [mailto:john@jmoneill.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 7:49 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA proposal 2

I support proposal 2

John O'Neill

---

**From:** kstone6779@comcast.net [mailto:kstone6779@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 9:37 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Support Proposal 2

I support MLPA Proposal 2.  
Thank You,  
Ken Stone  
6779 Tory Way  
Dublin, CA 94568

---

**From:** Matt Bingham [mailto:chopper130@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 6:00 AM

**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Options

I just wanted to send an email in support of Option 2.

Thank you,  
Matt Bingham

---

**From:** Shephard, Michael [mailto:mshephard@bofasecurities.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 6:18 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA proposal 2

Please consider MLPA Proposal 2. Oh yeah and stop sending all of the northern CA water to Southern CA. The fish need the water to survive. Also, if the fish and game could managed the hatchery programs correctly, that would help...

---

**From:** Michael Mansuy [mailto:mmansuy@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 9:15 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA

I support MLPA proposal #2.

**Mike Mansuy**  
**707-331-4779**

---

**From:** Pierre [mailto:pierre.g@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 9:04 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MPLA Proposals

I support MLPA Proposal 2

Pierre Granier  
coastside fishing club

---

**From:** Robert Filbrun [mailto:filbrunrl@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 12:57 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Initiative

I support proposal #2. Please give this proposal your support also. Science has proven that sport fishing is not the cause of our fish declines. Also please let the economical impact of more severe closed areas have an impact on your vote.

Best regards,

Robert Filbrun

---

**From:** Tim Chambers [mailto:timothy.a.chambers@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 12:07 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA

I am in favor of Proposal 2. It provides the best balance between conservation and sustainable use. The other proposals close too many areas of our coast to access and will only place more intense pressure on the remaining open areas. If protecting our marine life is truly the goal here, proposal 2 is the only way to do it - that is if recreational use of our oceans is to be permitted at all. Thank you for your consideration.

Tim Chambers

---

**From:** ANTHONYVANZANDT@comcast.net [mailto:ANTHONYVANZANDT@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 5:58 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Support MLPA 2

I support MLPA Proposal 2. Please do the right thing.

Anthony Van Zandt  
428 marshcreek drive  
american canyon, Ca  
94503

---

**From:** Chris Leuterio [mailto:[leuterio@mac.com](mailto:leuterio@mac.com)]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 11:08 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Proposals

I am writing in support of proposal #2 for the North Central Coast Project MLPA's. I am a member of several large fishing and boating clubs and a long time fisherman, hunter and conservationist.

Chris Leuterio

---

**From:** Petereit, Eric H [mailto:eric.petereit@retechsystemsllc.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 12:30 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** I support MLPA proposal # 2

Proposal 2 meets the requirements of the MLPA.

The health of the North Coast eco system does not require draconian measures suggested by other proposals.

Proposal 2 has the least impact on the already fragile north coast economy.

Don't go extreme if there is no need to go extreme and don't check your brain at the door. #2 makes most sense for ALL concerned.

Respectfully,

Eric Petereit  
(Member of the North Coast Eco-System)

---

**From:** Jerry\_Kulm@amat.com [mailto:Jerry\_Kulm@amat.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 9:03 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Option 2

I support MLPA proposal 2.



Jerry Kulm  
Cell (408) 391-4132

---

**From:** John Blair [mailto:jblair@executivestrategies.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 4:36 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** I support MLPA Proposal 2

Please listen to the sport fishermen. We are not the problem.  
Kind Regards -j

John A. Blair  
www.executivestrategies.com  
925-366-6638

---

**From:** J Tassoni [mailto:jtassoni@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 5:50 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Support proposal #2

Proposal #2 allows sport fisherman a sport and allows better fishing opportunities for all. I am a conservation and believe all resources should be used sustainably.

John Tassoni  
331 Greer Road  
Woodside, CA 94062

---

From: Kenneth Howe [mailto:[kenshirleyhowe@sbcglobal.net](mailto:kenshirleyhowe@sbcglobal.net)]  
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2008 9:58 AM  
To: MLPAComments  
Subject: MLPA

Hi, I support MLPA Proposal 2. Kenneth K. Howe, 529 El Dorado Ct.  
Santa Rosa, Ca. 95404

---

**From:** Matthew Plut [mailto:sw44magnum@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 9:18 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** North Central Coast MPA's

I support MLPA Proposal 2. This an excellent proposal that performs very well on the SAT evaluation. It will satisfy the requirements of the MLPA while keeping socioeconomic impacts to a minimum.

Matthew Plut

---

**From:** Andresen, Mike [mailto:m.andresen@meditec.zeiss.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 6:51 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:**

I support MLPA proposal 2. I also feel that if fishing for ocean salmon , or any other anadromous fish should be closed, due to low counts,

then all exports of agricultural water from the Sacramento, San Joaquin river system should be curtailed for the same time period.

Best Regards,

Mike

---

**From:** PARKS-Rancatore, Mike [mailto:mrancatore@burlingame.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 7:51 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** proposal

Please support MLPA proposal #2. much needs to be learned before we make more restrictive fishing.

Thankyou for your efforts to help our fishery.

Mike rancatore.

---

**From:** Ray Cloud [mailto:rccs@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thu 3/13/2008 12:38 PM  
**To:** Melissa Miller-Henson  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Support Proposal 2

Support for Current MLPA Proposal 2, the only one that strikes the right balance. Ray Cloud

Ray Cloud  
The Cloud Company  
Office: (805) 925-0265  
Cell: (805) 478-2220

---

**From:** Robert Mariano [mailto:roosterchick@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 4:16 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Cc:** Mariano, Robert J  
**Subject:** I Support MLPA Proposal 2

I have reviewed the MLPA data and I wanted to voice my support for MLPA Proposal 2.

Robert Mariano  
4366 Cornell Way  
Livermore, CA 94550

---

**From:** Tim [mailto:reelsteel@humboldt1.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 5:07 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** North central MPA

Coastside has put forth a proposal (#2) that complies with the intent of the MLPA and still allows Californians some access to their ocean. I strongly urge you to adopt their proposal. Tim Klassen

---

From: Bruce MacKimmie [mailto:[bmackimmie@sbcglobal.net](mailto:bmackimmie@sbcglobal.net)]  
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2008 9:18 AM  
To: MLPAComments  
Subject: Support of Propsal Number Two

I support the MLPA proposal number 2, thank you. Bruce MacKimmie, Voter, Taxpayer

---

From: D&M Wilgis [<mailto:wilgis@dishmail.net>]  
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2008 9:33 PM  
To: MLPAComments  
Subject: MLPA Comments

I support MLPA Proposal 2 as the best available Marine Life Protection Plan.

Doug Wilgis  
Bodega Bay, CA

---

**From:** Frank Gee [<mailto:pioneermt@yahoo.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 5:24 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** I support MLPA Proposal 2

Hello,

I support MLPA Proposal 2 for the following reason:

It is based on the best science, and supports sustainable fisheries. The other proposals are far behind and deficient in terms of actual scientific data and approach.

Frank Gee

---

**From:** JamesDeLaPena@aol.com [<mailto:JamesDeLaPena@aol.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 7:18 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Proposal 2

I support MLPA Proposal 2. Also, I support not sending water south. Poor water management is killing our fish industry. It is not the fisherman.

Registered voter.

Jim De La Pena

---

**From:** Miklaucic, John [<mailto:JMiklaucic@ci.santa-rosa.ca.us>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 11:16 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Proposal 2

I support MLPA proposal 2

---

**From:** Terry Fujii [<mailto:tkfuj@comcast.net>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 4:26 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA # 2

Dear Sirs: I am a recreational fisherman and support MLPA proposal #2

Ken Fujii  
2266 Shasta Court  
Martinez, CA 94553

---

From: Lee Dickson [<mailto:ldickson@3gcgroup.com>]  
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2008 10:02 AM  
To: MLPAComments

Subject: Proposal 2

I support MLPA proposal 2.

Lee Dickson

---

**From:** Michael [mailto:seacap26@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 10:16 AM

**To:** MLPAComments

**Subject:** North Central Coast Project

Dear Fish and Game Commission,

In regards to the MLPA initiative for the North Central Coast region, I am in favor of Proposal 2. I believe this proposal best balances the needs of protecting the marine environment with allowing reasonable access to Californians. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Michael Caporale  
2383 Richland Ave  
San Jose, CA 95125

---

**From:** Mike Elfers [mailto:elf279@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 7:26 AM

**To:** MLPAComments

**Subject:**

I support MLPA Proposal 2

Mike Elfers

330 Old Rocky Circle  
Vacaville, CA 95688  
707-448-0826

---

**From:** oceanmonkey101@aol.com [mailto:oceanmonkey101@aol.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 11:15 PM

**To:** MLPAComments

**Subject:** Hello

I support MLPA Proposal 2

---

**From:** Richard Gillaspie [mailto:rgillaspie@ezbenefitsgroup.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 8:38 PM

**To:** MLPAComments

**Subject:** Salmon Season

I support MLPA Proposal 2, we need to protect the future of our salmon for our kids. Thank you.

Richard Gillaspie

---

**From:** spoonbreath-2@yahoo.com [mailto:spoonbreath-2@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 10:48 AM

**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Proposal 2

I support MLPA Proposal 2 (Coastside RSG Proposal).

---

**From:** Odum Jr, William (WG) [mailto:William.OdumJR@dow.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 5:59 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA

I support MLPA Proposal 2

William Odum  
1304 Birmingham St. Oakley, Ca.

---

**From:** Carl Moyer [mailto:carlmarc@astound.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 7:42 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA

I support proposal #2.

Carl Moyer

---

**From:** John Zenner, IDEA Consulting Group, Inc. [mailto:johnz@ideaconsultinggroup.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 8:31 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** I support MLPA Proposal 2

I support MLPA Proposal 2.

I'd think it's a travesty to see private funds being used to set public policy as is the case with the MLPA process in California. I support MLPA Proposal 2 as the only reasonable hope for the vast majority of Californian's to benefit from the true intent of the MLPA.

Sincerely,

John M. Zenner

---

**From:** jeffsil@comcast.net [mailto:jeffsil@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 7:30 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** I support MLPA Proposal 2

I support MLPA Proposal 2

Jeff Silva  
35618 Morley Place, Fremont, CA

---

**From:** Larry Whitcomb [mailto:lwhitcomb@blackhawkclaims.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 7:45 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Proposal 2

Proposal 2 is the only one that makes sense fellas. Please support this proposal.

Larry Whitcomb

---

**From:** Jim Volberding [mailto:jamiv925@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 13, 2008 8:15 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA North Central

Hello,

I Fully support proposal 2 after viewing all the proposals. Proposal 2 gives protection for areas that need it and also allows fishing. Conservation - doesn't specify shutting down all good fishing areas that some proposals forwarded included.

Thank You,  
James Volberding

---

**From:** ann fitzpatrick [mailto:fitzpatrick\_ann@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 14, 2008 2:42 PM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:**

I support MLPA Proposal 2.

Ann Thurman  
5755 Daphne Dr.  
Livermore, CA 94550

---

**From:** Richard Mauri [mailto:richard@themauris.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 14, 2008 10:33 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** MLPA Proposal 2

I support this proposal 2 as it strikes the best balance for managing the environment and all affected parties.

Thank you for listening,  
Richard Mauri

---

**From:** Dave . [mailto:icanmakeyousmile823@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 14, 2008 12:39 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** My Vote, and I vote.

Proposal 2.

---

**From:** Mike Overmyer [mailto:mikeov@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 14, 2008 8:17 AM  
**To:** MLPAComments  
**Subject:** Proposal #2

I support the Coastside Fishing club recommendation #2  
Mike Overmyer